

**SAMSUNG**

Technical White Paper

# **Mission Critical Network Solutions**

April 2021

# Contents

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>01</b>
<b>Mission Critical Network Architecture</b>	<b>03</b>
<b>Main Features for Mission Critical Networks</b>	<b>05</b>
MCPTX	
eMBMS	
D2D / ProSe	
<b>Interoperability</b>	<b>11</b>
LMR Networks	
Commercial Mobile Networks	
MCPTX Networks	
<b>Evolution of Mission Critical Networks</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Summary</b>	<b>15</b>

## Abbreviations

<b>BM-SC</b>	Broadcast-Multicast Service Centre	<b>MME</b>	Mobility Management Entity
<b>CN</b>	Core Network	<b>P25</b>	Project 25
<b>CP</b>	Cyclic Prefix	<b>PCRF</b>	Policy and Charging Rules Function
<b>CSC</b>	Common Services Core	<b>P-GW</b>	PDN Gateway
<b>CSCF</b>	Call Session Control Function	<b>ProSe</b>	Proximity Service
<b>D2D</b>	Device-to-Device	<b>PS-LTE</b>	Public Safety - Long Term Evolution
<b>DMR</b>	Digital Mobile Radio	<b>QoS</b>	Quality of Service
<b>eMBMS</b>	Evolved Multimedia Broadcast Multicast Service	<b>RAN</b>	Radio Access Network
<b>eNB</b>	Evolved NodeB	<b>RLC</b>	Radio Link Control
<b>EPC</b>	Evolved Packet Core	<b>RoIP-GW</b>	Radio over IP Gateway
<b>GCS AS</b>	Group Communication System Application Server	<b>SAE GW</b>	System Architecture Evolution Gateway
<b>HSS</b>	Home Subscriber Server	<b>SCTP</b>	Stream Control Transmission Protocol
<b>IMS</b>	IP Multimedia Subsystem	<b>S-GW</b>	Serving Gateway
<b>IWF</b>	Interworking Function	<b>SIB</b>	System Information Block
<b>LMR</b>	Land Mobile Radio	<b>SIP</b>	Session Initiation Protocol
<b>MC</b>	Mission Critical	<b>TETRA</b>	Terrestrial Trunked Radio
<b>MCPTT</b>	Mission Critical Push-To-Talk	<b>UE</b>	User Equipment
<b>MCPTX</b>	Mission Critical Push-To-X	<b>UHF</b>	Ultra High Frequency
<b>MIMO</b>	Multiple Input Multiple Output	<b>VHF</b>	Very High Frequency
		<b>VoLTE</b>	Voice over LTE

# Introduction

## What is Mission Critical Networks?

Public safety, for any government, is of the utmost importance. In order to protect its citizens from harm such as fires, earthquakes, terrorist attacks or criminal activity, a government must have reliable safety systems in place. One key aspect of such safety systems is communication infrastructure, in the form of a mission critical network.

A mission critical network is a dedicated network used by public institutions such as police and fire departments for both day-to-day operations and in times of emergency. Due to the urgency and cooperative nature of the work that first responders are tasked with, effective communication plays an important role in emergency response and can be directly correlated with the success or failure of a given operation.

In legacy mission critical networks, agents in the field have traditionally used push-to-talk radios to communicate among themselves and with their respective dispatchers. Unfortunately, when the radio technologies deployed by each agency differ, users are forced to resort to landline phones or mobile phones for cross-agency communication. As a result, when a large-scale disaster occurs and multiple agencies need to cooperate, response becomes chaotic and disorganized as user relays messages through a multitude of alternative and untracked lines of communication. Meanwhile, supervisors and dispatchers lose the ability to track who is aware of which aspects of an often dynamic and evolving situation.

A truly effective mission critical network should be built upon communication infrastructure that is both reliable enough for daily business and robust enough to serve as an integrated communications system between multiple responding agencies in an emergency. That is to say, a mission critical network should be equally capable of supporting a routine traffic stop by a single officer, as well as joint activities between agencies that need to establish on-site cooperation, command, and situation propagation under the extreme conditions and circumstances of a crisis response.

To overcome the limitations that legacy networks face, a mission critical networks has been specified, developed, and deployed in recent years. This paper outlines the concept of mission critical networks and how the system is adapted and used in the context of mission critical networks.

## LTE, enabler of modernized mission critical services.

Data connectivity is one of the biggest advantages of mission critical services based on a LTE networks. Before LTE was introduced, mission critical networks were primarily voice-oriented with support for only very limited data rates. However, with the ability to transmit high volumes of data and the introduction of LTE features provided by the 3GPP LTE standard, mission critical network based on LTE can support a wide variety of applications such as live streaming, broadcasting or multi-casting, and device-to-device communications.

LTE is the technology which is completely based on 3GPP standards and has one of the broadest commercial deployment footprints in the world today. From these perspectives –openness and popularity- LTE is suitable to unify the existing mission critical networks based on disparate technologies, such as Very High Frequency (VHF), Ultra High Frequency (UHF) and Terrestrial Trunked Radio (TETRA), all of which utilize different frequency bands and standards. For example, as shown in Figure 1, the different networks implemented by the fire department and the railway institution make quick and automatic communications between agencies difficult. In turn, less efficient communication systems such a landlines need to be used between the agencies. Mission critical network based on LTE, however, can guarantee faster responses when these different networks are unified through LTE and also satisfy the rigorous requirements set out for mission critical networks such as resilience, security and quality of service.

3GPP Rel. 13 provides relevant standards and specifications targeted at the functions and requirements for mission critical networks, including Device-To-Device (D2D) communications, evolved Multimedia Broadcast/Multicast (eMBMS) service, and Mission-Critical Push-To-Talk (MCPTT).

**AS IS**  
Different technologies and frequencies



**TO BE**  
All safety agencies using the same PS-LTE Network




Figure 1. The need for interoperability




# Mission Critical Network Architecture

Because PS-LTE(Public Safety – Long Term Evolution) is a mission critical network based on LTE technology, its network architecture is essentially the same as commercial LTE networks.

Figure 2 shows the end-to-end network architecture of a typical PS-LTE deployment. It depicts three primary domains: LTE Radio Access Network (RAN), LTE Core Network (CN), and the IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS), which includes application servers for Mission Critical Push-To-Talk, Video and Data (MCPTX).

The LTE RAN and LTE CN domains include the evolved Node B (eNB), Mobility Management Entity (MME), Home Subscriber Server (HSS), Serving Gateway (S-GW), Packet Data Network Gateway (P-GW), Policy and Charging Rule Function (PCRF) and Multimedia Broadcast Multicast Service Gateway (MBMS GW). The IMS & MCPTX domain includes the MCPTX Application Server, Common Service Core (CSC), Dispatcher and Recording server in addition to the IMS HSS and Call Session Control Function (CSCF). The MCPTX Application Server is one of the most critical elements in the PS-LTE network as it provides primary mission critical network features such as push-to-talk group and private calling, emergency calling, etc.



**Figure 2. PS-LTE network architecture**

## RAN

The RAN is located between the User Equipment (UE) and the core network. It interfaces with the wireless connection according to the LTE standard and provides wireless communication service to subscribers.

## Core Network

The core network is primarily in charge of functions such as the authentication of subscriber information, management of subscriber location, and connection to the internet network.

The MME is a main control plane element in the PS-LTE network that is responsible for mobility management, signaling, paging, authentication, authorization, bearer activation/deactivation, Tracking Area (TA) list management, and P-GW and S-GW selection.

The S-GW and P-GW provide connectivity from the UE to the internet network. The S-GW routes and forwards the user data packets and acts as a mobility anchor point when the user moves between eNBs. The P-GW is responsible for policy enforcement, packet filtering for each user, charging support, and packet screening.

The PCRF provides real-time policy control decision-making, charging control function, and Quality of Service (QoS) authorization based on user's profile.

The MBMS GW is a logical entity that is located between the Broadcast Multicast-Service Center (BM-SC) and the eNBs. It is responsible for the allocation of multicast IP addresses and session management. It receives MBMS content from the BM-SC and forwards it to the appropriate eNBs over the IP multicast network.

## IMS & MCPTX

The IMS enables IP-based multimedia services intended for deployment directly within a mobile network, in contrast to “over-the-top” services which are hosted outside of the operator’s network. The main services that IMS currently supports are generally related in some way to voice or video calling. In the case of mission critical networks, group calling is a standout functionality that is tailored to the specific needs and technical challenges of supporting multi-way communications between (potentially) very large groups of people.

The CSCF is an IMS entity responsible for handling Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) registration and session establishment and also acts as SIP routing machinery for services hosted within the IMS, including MCPTX.

The MCPTX Application Server is responsible for handling all media transfer between users, both during private and group call sessions; such media may include voice, video or data. Critically, the MCPTX Application Server needs to be capable of very rapid packet processing in order to copy incoming media simultaneously to all others actively listening within a given group. It interworks with other elements within the MCPTX solution, such as the CSC, to resolve user authorization, group membership, etc.

The CSC is composed of several sub-component management servers:

- the ID Management Server (IdMS), which functions as a central point of authentication and token-based service authorization for user clients;
- the Configuration Management Server (CMS), which interworks with the Mission Critical Subscriber Repository to store and retrieve client configuration and user profile data;
- the Group Management Server (GMS), responsible for handling group-related configuration and membership tracking;
- the Key Management Server, which stores and distributes relevant encryption keys which are used throughout the solution for maintaining the security of media and signaling traffic between clients and servers.


In the future, the CSC can be extended to include other management servers such as the Location Management Server (LMS), which would be responsible for collecting location-related data from clients for use by other servers responsible for Location-based Services.






# Main Features for Mission Critical Networks

Among the broad set of features that LTE delivers, a specific sub-set is particularly useful in the effort to meet stringent service performance requirements for mission critical networks. Such features (depicted in Figure 3) include Multiple Input Multiple Output (MIMO), QoS, Voice over LTE (VoLTE), security and eMBMS. On top of these LTE features, mission critical networks introduce its own focused set of new features such as MCPTX, Proximity Services (ProSe) for D2D communications, Floor Control management, and Isolated E-UTRAN Operation for Public Safety (IOPS).



**Figure 3. Features of LTE and PS-LTE**

As shown in Figure 4 below, when features such as MCPTX, eMBMS, ProSe are implemented in a mission critical network, first responders gain considerable flexibility, ease-of-access and robustness in their ability to handle the work they do, even (and especially) during unpredictable and chaotic emergency situations. This ability to leverage voice/video group and private communication, real time image and file transfers, as well as dynamic group management (that allows for ad-hoc groups, location-based groups, merging groups and more), goes well beyond the capabilities of existing Land Mobile Radio (LMR) and Digital Mobile Radio (DMR) communication technologies common in the market today.



**Figure 4. Main features for mission critical networks**


## MCPTX

The MCPTX service delivers group and private communications and features low call setup time, support for very large call groups, strong media and signaling security. Moreover, it interworks with the broader mission critical network to support prioritized access to network resources based on need. These features are pivotal in a mission critical network because the outcome of any given public safety effort (from community policing to firefighting to disaster response) is directly influenced by the speed, quality and amount of information that can be communicated as a situation evolves. MCPTX, for this reason, is a key differentiator that sets mission critical services apart from legacy public safety technologies such as VHF, UHF, TETRA, Project 25 (P25), etc.

As shown in Figure 5, MCPTX group and private communication service is provided on top of the EPC and IMS network and leverages standard interfaces defined by 3GPP.

A group call is established among users (who are members of a pre-defined or ad-hoc group) when the MCPTX Application Server sends a group call INVITE to members of the group. A group call can be declared by at different priority levels (e.g., normal or emergency) by the initiating user, which will indicate to the broader network how to prioritize resource assignment and access guarantees for the associated bearer setup and traffic handling.

An MCPTX private call is a simple 1:1 call between two users, that can be configured with floor control (push-to-talk) or without (full duplex; similar to a VoLTE call).




**Figure 5. MCPTX call types - group and private**



## MCPTX Group Call Setup Flow

A group call setup involves SIP signaling via the EPC network, SIP Core, and MCPTX Application Server. It also involves Floor Control signaling and Media handling as shown in Figure 6.



**Figure 6. MCPTX group call setup flow**


Figure 6 – (a) Signaling Flow shows the steps involved in creating a dedicated bearer through the EPC, IMS and MCPTX core as part of a MCPTX group call setup procedure. When the group call originating MCPTX UE sends a SIP INVITE to the MCPTX Application Server, the INVITE is further routed to all other participants of the MCPTX group. Next, the IMS core provides MCPTX session information through the Rx interface to the PCRF, which then requests the establishment of a new dedicated bearer with relevant resources to meet the required service level for each member of the group call.

Figure 6 – (b) Floor Control Flow depicts how authority is granted to an MCPTX user which allows the user to transmit (talk) during a MCPTX group call. Floor control is an arbitration service that determines which user has the authority (floor) to transmit at any given point of a call. When an MCPTX user pushes the button to transmit, the MCPTX UE sends a floor request message to the MCPTX Application Server. Upon receiving the request, the MCPTX Application Server determines whether or not to grant the request based on user role and group configuration. If the MCPTX Application Server accepts the request, the floor is granted to the requesting user and a floor-taken notification is sent to all other participants. Alternatively, the MCPTX Application Server may reject the request for a variety of reasons. If a user with greater authority already has the floor, then the requesting user will be placed in queue based on their priority rights (e.g. supervisors before others) with the requesting user duly notified. If a user does not have the right to speak, then the floor request will be rejected and discarded.

Figure 6 – (c) Media Flow shows the path of RTP media traffic between MCPTX users when an MCPTX user transmits media traffic. During a group call, only the user granted floor control can transmit media traffic. When other participants in the group call want to send media traffic, they must first send a floor request message to the MCPTX Application Server.

## eMBMS

eMBMS is a point-to-multipoint service, in which a user delivers common data to a group of interested users through a single, shared, radio interface. In this case, since radio resources are shared by multiple UEs, eMBMS can significantly improve the spectral efficiency. This feature is particularly suitable for PS-LTE, where common media (i.e. a single user transmitting at a time) needs to be transferred to hundreds or thousands of users in a resource-efficient way. In addition, eMBMS enables the coordination of multicast or broadcast transmission across multiple cells that are tightly synchronized. This technique, called Multicast-Broadcast over a Single Frequency Network (MBSFN), allows the transmission of identical waveforms at the same time, by constructively superimposing radio signals from different cells and thus boosting the signal received by the UE. This effect is highly beneficial to UEs located at the cell edge. Figure 7 shows the basic concept of an MBSFN transmission.



**Figure 7. MBSFN transmission for inter-cell coordinated transmission**

Several new network entities are responsible for enabling MBSFN transmission:

- **Broadcast Multicast-Service Centre (BMSC):** The BM-SC is a functional entity responsible for the management of MBMS sessions (Start, Stop, Update, Delete). These operations are performed based on the request from the MCPTX Application Server. The BM-SC manages MBMS sessions by allocating a Temporary Mobile Group Identity (TMGI) which is unique to each session. Based on the TMGI, the BM-SC requests for specific MBMS bearers for MBMS Session Start, Stop, Update and Delete. At the same time, the BM-SC handles user plane traffic which is delivered over each session. In order to process user plane traffic, the BM-SC uses the Synchronization (SYNC) protocol to ensure precise synchronization of user data packets as they are simultaneously transmitted from multiple eNBs. In Samsung's MCPTX solution, the BM-SC is implemented as a sub-function of the MCPTX Application Server itself in order to support broadcasting for MCPTX downlink media traffic.
- **MBMS Gateway (MBMS-GW):** The MBMS-GW is a functional entity responsible for the allocation of multicast IP addresses and session management. It receives MBMS content from the BM-SC and forwards it to the appropriate eNBs over the IP multicast network. The MBMS-GW can optionally be integrated with the P-GW.
- **Multicell and Multicast Coordination Entity (MCE):** The MCE is a new network entity that allocates and schedules the same radio resource to multiple cells for MBSFN transmission. In addition, the MCE performs MBMS session admission control and manages eMBMS services. In an eMBMS system, each eNB is served by a single MCE at a given time, though each eNB can receive multicast traffic from multiple MBMS-GWs. The MCE can be deployed in two different ways: centralized or distributed. In a distributed deployment, the MCE is integrated directly into each eNB whereas in a centralized deployment, the MCE functions are implemented in a separate server. Table 1 shows the difference of centralized MCE and distributed MCE.


Centralized MCE	Distributed/Integrated MCE in eNB
Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP) offloading at MME	Increased SCTP load at MME
Easy to support eNB restoration	Not easy to support eNB restoration
Requires an additional server for MCE	No extra server required
Needs SFN synchronization between eNB and MCE	No need SFN synchronization between eNB and MCE
Advantageous for large service area (e.g., City/Nation)	Advantageous for small service area (e.g., stadium)

**Table 1. The difference between centralized MCE and integrated MCE**

The aforementioned network entities are interconnected through the following new interfaces:

- **M1 interface:** A user plane interface connecting the eMBMS-GW and eNB. eMBMS-GW delivers MBMS data using IP multicast over the M1 interface. SYNC PDU for synchronization is delivered over the M1 interface.
- **M2 interface:** A control plane interface located between the MCE and eNB. Accordingly, only a centralized MCE has the M2 interface (i.e., there is no M2 interface for the integrated MCE in eNB). The connection specification must satisfy the SCTP interface.
- **M3 interface:** The MCE and MME are connected by the M3 interface. The connection specification must satisfy the SCTP interface.

Figure 8 shows eMBMS architecture for MCPTX with the three new interfaces, M1, M2 and M3.



**Figure 8. eMBMS architecture for MCPTX**

In a PS-LTE network, eMBMS can be used to improve the capability and efficiency of group calling. Since these types of calls are fundamentally one-way, real-time and fixed (i.e. all users receive the exact same media at the exact same time from a single user transmitting), the use of a broadcast channel for transmitting the same downlink data to multiple clients is of extreme benefit in terms of network resource usage, both on the radio side (spectrum usage) and within the backhaul and the core network. Considering

that a MCPTX service can reach thousands of simultaneous users, many of whom are likely to be in close proximity, MBMS serves as a reasonable solution for transmitting the same data simultaneously to mass audiences. Moreover, as MCPTT usage is further augmented by MCVideo, eMBMS will play a critical role in ensuring service capabilities despite the limited spectrum resources that are typically dedicated to public safety usage.


It should be noted that eMBMS is useful only for downlink traffic to multiple simultaneous recipients. Uplink traffic (user transmission), as well as downlink traffic that is unique to each user (certain floor control messaging, e.g. a user's place in queue), will continue to use the standard unicast transmission methods.

## D2D / ProSe

Direct communication between devices (D2D) can be implemented using the 3GPP ProSe feature. ProSe allows a UE to discover other UEs that are within close proximity while off-network (i.e., not served by an eNB or connected to the LTE CN in any way). While ProSe can potentially be used for normal commercial service, this paper focuses on how it can be used in public safety use cases.

Once a ProSe-enabled UE has discovered another ProSe-enabled UE, the devices can communicate (transmit user plane traffic) directly to one another without having the data routed to a network infrastructure. In general, there are two direct communication methods: one-to-one or one-to-many. As an extended use case, a ProSe-enabled UE within network coverage can function as a relay for nearby devices which are outside of the coverage footprint – a so-called UE-to-Network Relay – in order to provide network access to the off-network devices.

Figure 9 shows all these three use cases of D2D/ProSe in details.



**Figure 9. D2D / ProSe service**

In order to ensure that only authorized devices can use ProSe services, a ProSe-enabled UE must be connected to the network at least once within a given timeframe to receive the necessary authorization credentials from the LTE CN and any associated services, such as the MCPTX Application Server. This ensures that service operation and access are properly secured, as well as preventing unauthorized usage of licensed spectrum resources.

# Interoperability

## LMR Networks

Interoperability of mission critical networks with existing legacy systems, such as TETRA/P25 networks, can be implemented where needed in order to facilitate a smooth transition to newer technologies without disrupting current service capabilities. There are two options for MCPTX – LMR(Land Mobile Radio) Interworking as depicted in Figure 10. On the device end, an LMR device can interconnect with the MCPTX service using Radio over IP Gateway (RoIP-GW). This is currently the more commonly considered approach; however, it requires broad, distributed deployment of RoIP-GW elements at multiple points throughout the LMR radio network in order to bridge coverage. Alternatively, the LMR core network can be more directly interconnected to the MCPTX service via a standardized interface on the LMR side (e.g. TETRA Inter-System Interface (ISI) or P25 Inter Subsystem Interface (ISSI)) through an LMR-Interworking Function (LMR-IWF) at the edge of the IMS/MCPTX core. The LMR-IWF is responsible for “translating” the implementations of each technology to the other, such that LMR users and groups appear as MCPTX users/groups from the MCPTX side, and vice versa for the LMR side. This effectively enables mission critical group and private voice communications between mission critical networks and LMR networks, through a centrally deployed gateway, significantly reducing deployment complexity and cost as compared to RoIP-GW deployments.




Figure 10. Interoperability with TETRA/P25 networks




## Commercial Mobile Networks

The nature of a mission critical network is often unpredictable and not confined to a fixed set of locations. Thus, its subscribers need to be able to communicate at any time and from anywhere. In other words, the mission critical network must be accessible even in cases where coverage is not necessarily deployed (coverage holes).

Fortunately, PS-LTE is fundamentally based on LTE technologies, which have one of the broadest commercial deployment footprints in the world today. Taking advantage of this fact, PS-LTE users can easily roam on to commercial networks and even access services such as MCPTX should they find themselves out of range of their home PS-LTE network.

There are various interworking methods between PS-LTE and commercial LTE networks. Figure 11 illustrates one such method in the case of multiple Public Land Mobile Networks (PLMNs).



**Figure 11. Interoperability between PS-LTE and commercial LTE networks**

PLMNs are identified by a five or six-digit number that consists of the Mobile Country Code (MCC) and the Mobile Network Code (MNC). Every mobile service provider, including a PS-LTE operator, has a unique PLMN to distinguish itself.

In a Multiple-PLMN implementation, LTE service is provided to users in a given cell that supports multiple concurrent operators. For this approach to work, the RAN must be set up to broadcast multiple PLMNs through its system information and select the relevant core networks based on the PLMN requested by the UE. This would be implemented as part of a public safety deployment in coordination with commercial networks roaming partners.

The steps involved in PS-LTE and commercial LTE interworking are highlighted as follows:


- The PLMN code of a PS-LTE network is provisioned by the RAN of a commercial LTE operator.
- The commercial RAN periodically broadcasts an SIB1 message which includes the PS-LTE PLMN code (in addition to the commercial operator's own PLMN code).
- If a UE requests a PS-LTE PLMN, the commercial LTE RAN routes the signaling message to the MME located in the PS-LTE network in order to set up a connection towards the PS-LTE network.

The Multiple-PLMN approach can also be used to allow PS-LTE networks to interwork with other comprehensive safety networks, such as LTE-Rail (LTE-R) or LTE-Marine (LTE-M) networks. The ability to interwork all of these various network deployments in both the public safety and commercial domains is a fundamental benefit of an LTE-based ecosystem.

## MCPTX Networks

There are several cases to consider in which multiple different MCPTX (Mission Critical Push-To-X) networks may be required to interwork with one another. In some cases, mission critical networks may be deployed in a regionalized manner (e.g. individual state, province, city or even agency networks), in which case users on these networks will find a need to communicate with each other during a major emergency. Another case to consider is cross-border operations between two or more nations. Additionally, we also expect to see deployments by commercial operators themselves, both targeted at first responders, but also at enterprise users for so-called business-critical service.

In each of these cases, the MCPTX applications can interwork with each other via standard interfaces defined by the 3GPP. Within a single operator's network, the interworking among multiple MCPTX Application Servers is achieved using the MCPTT-3 interface. However, across multiple operators' networks, the MCPTT-10 interface is used instead in conjunction with a Mission Critical Gateway at the edge of each network in order to ensure security of communications entering each network as well as to implement topology hiding such that neither network is aware of the internal details of the other network. Figure 12 shows interoperability between two operators' network over the MCPTT-10 interface.



**Figure 12. Interoperability with other MCPTX**

This type of standard interworking among different MCPTX networks provides additional flexibility to Mission Critical service operators and government agencies to manage and control emergency situations.



# Evolution of Mission Critical Networks

Arguably, the most key feature within mission critical networks is MCPTX. It replaces traditional push-to-talk technology and promises to revolutionize how first responders communicate in the course of their work. Utilizing LTE technology, various applications such as video and data, on top of traditional voice calling, can be leveraged in mission critical circumstances. In addition, with the Group Communication System Enabler (GCSE) technology applied, video, data, and voice can be efficiently transmitted using eMBMS-based multicasting so that networks can better cope with chaotic and unpredictable demands on radio and transport resources during emergency situations.

The discussion around mission critical networks began in Rel. 12 of the 3GPP specification, with Mission Critical Push-To-Talk (MCPTT) having been defined in Rel. 13. In 3GPP Rel. 14 and Rel. 15, these two features – PS-LTE and MCPTT – were enhanced. Samsung MCPTX solutions based on the latest Rel. 15 are expected to be ready in 2020. Major features include, supporting MBMS-based mission critical communications, interworking with existing LMR networks, interworking with other MCPTX, among others.

In many countries, the need to interwork with existing LMR networks is of fundamental importance due to the widespread nature of LMR, the deep integration of LMR into current standard operating procedures, as well as the typical length and considerable cost of existing LMR operation agreements. Basic LMR interworking using RoIP-GW is already possible today, with the more comprehensive LMR-IWF approach expected to be available within 2020.

While many operators are pursuing 5G deployments now at a rapid pace, it should be noted that mission critical services in 5G NR (sometimes referred to as PS-5G) are still in the infant stage, with early study items only now being conducted. Some advanced features, such as ‘grant-free UL’ and ‘eMBB-URLLC multiplexing’ are reflected in the 3GPP standard which tap into some 5G-oriented concepts, but practical 5G-based mission critical services aren’t expected to be defined until Release 17 or Release 18 at the earliest. Figure 13 shows the evolution of mission critical features.




Figure 13. Evolution of mission critical network's features

# Summary

The primary purpose of a mission critical network is to keep citizens safe both on a daily basis from criminal activity, fires, etc., as well as during the course of disasters such as earthquakes or terrorist attack. In order to satisfy the unique requirements of first responders during such a broad array of situations, mission critical networks based on capabilities of LTE which is data-oriented technology have long been discussed. Because of its data-oriented nature it can transfer high volumes of data (e.g. video streaming or files) to its users. This is important as the way that first responders communicate and handle their work is evolving in much the same direction – data-oriented with a higher reliance on digital systems to manage operations. Since PS-LTE itself is based on LTE, it can take advantage of the same LTE technologies and features that have been field-proven in commercial networks over the past decade, while adding a few new features to improve its overall reliability, efficiency and service capabilities in line with the unique demands of public safety.

Samsung has been a pioneer in the mobile telecommunications market for more than two decades. Armed with considerable knowledge and technical experience in a variety of key technologies, such as VoLTE and eMBMS, as well as its position as an end-to-end LTE solution vendor, Samsung has become one of the industry's strongest solution providers for PS-LTE network deployments. Moreover, Samsung has also participated in the establishment of global standards for PS-LTE and is the world's first standards-based nationwide PS-LTE solution provider. With nationwide coverage, the network serves as a unified platform that helps ensure interoperability among various public safety institutions. This delivers real-time accessibility and enhanced communication capabilities among public safety agencies and personnel in emergency situations.

With its dedication to providing world-class solutions, Samsung incorporates only the most reliable and efficient technologies and services into its mission critical network portfolio and works closely with its customers to ensure deployments suit the needs of operator, end-users and market alike.





### **About Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.**

Samsung inspires the world and shapes the future with transformative ideas and technologies. The company is redefining the worlds of TVs, smartphones, wearable devices, tablets, digital appliances, network systems, and memory, system LSI, foundry and LED solutions.

### **© 2021 Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.**

All rights reserved. Information in this leaflet is proprietary to Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and is subject to change without notice. No information contained here may be copied, translated, transcribed or duplicated by any form without the prior written consent of Samsung Electronics.



#### **Homepage & Insight**

[www.samsungnetworks.com](http://www.samsungnetworks.com)

[www.samsung.com/global/business/networks/insights](http://www.samsung.com/global/business/networks/insights)



#### **Youtube**

[www.youtube.com/samsung5g](http://www.youtube.com/samsung5g)